

# MARCIN SZUBERT, PHD WEBFUNDAMENTALS HYPERTEXT TRANSFER PROTOCOL

## PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- Evolution of the Web
- Building blocks of the Web
- HTTP Hypertext Transfer Protocol
  - Messages: verbs, status codes, headers
  - Connections: performance, security, proxies
- HTTP extensions: SPDY, HTTP/2

## MOTIVATION

- HTTP: the protocol every web developer must know.
- HTTP is the foundation of the most successful distributed system ever built — the World Wide Web.
- Understanding HTTP is critical to:
  - designing a clean, simple and RESTful Web API,
  - implementing efficient and scalable web applications,
  - debugging web application.

### SCALABILITY

 "Scalability is the capability of a system, network, or process to handle a growing amount of work, or its potential to be enlarged in order to accommodate that growth.", Wikipedia

 1989 — Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a computer network.



- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a computer network.
- **ARPANET** open **decentralized** computer network architecture.



- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a computer network.
- **ARPANET** open **decentralized** computer network architecture.



PAUL BARAN, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE

Summary—This paper' briefly reviews the distributed communication network concept in which each station is connected to all adjacent stations rather than to a few switching points, as in a centralized system. The payoff for a distributed configuration in terms of survivability in the cases of enemy attack directed against nodes, links or combinations of nodes and links is demonstrated. A comparison is made between diversity of assignment and perfect switching in distributed networks, and the feasibility of using low-cost unreliable communication links, even links so unreliable as to be unusable in present type networks, to form highly reliable networks is discussed.

The requirements for a future all-digital data distributed network which provides common user service for a wide range of users having different requirements is considered. The use of a standard format message block permits building relatively simple switching mechanisms using an adaptive store-and-forward routing policy to handle all forms of digital data including digital voice. This network rapidly responds to changes in the network status. Recent history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection. Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any central, and therefore vulnerable, control point.

#### INTRODUCTION

ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, sponsored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

Calif. <sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governmental or private research sponsors.



Fig. 1—(a) Centralized. (b) Decentralized. (c) Distributed networks

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any *i*th station and any *j*th station, provided a path can be drawn from the *i*th to the *j*th station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a computer network.
- **ARPANET** open **decentralized** computer network architecture.
  - 1969: First message sent



PAUL BARAN, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE

Summary—This paper' briefly reviews the distributed communication network concept in which each station is connected to all adjacent stations rather than to a few switching points, as in a centralized system. The payoff for a distributed configuration in terms of survivability in the cases of enemy attack directed against nodes, links or combinations of nodes and links is demonstrated. A comparison is made between diversity of assignment and perfect switching in distributed networks, and the feasibility of using low-cost unreliable communication links, even links so unreliable as to be unusable in present type networks, to form highly reliable networks is discussed.

The requirements for a future all-digital data distributed network which provides common user service for a wide range of users having different requirements is considered. The use of a standard format message block permits building relatively simple switching mechanisms using an adaptive store-and-forward routing policy to handle all forms of digital data including digital voice. This network rapidly responds to changes in the network status. Recent history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection. Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any central, and therefore vulnerable, control point.

#### INTRODUCTION

ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, sponsored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

Calif. <sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governmental or private research sponsors.



Fig. 1—(a) Centralized. (b) Decentralized. (c) Distributed networks

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any *i*th station and any *j*th station, provided a path can be drawn from the *i*th to the *j*th station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a computer network.
- **ARPANET** open **decentralized** computer network architecture.
  - 1969: First message sent
  - 1971: First e-mail sent

#### On Distributed Communications Networks

PAUL BARAN, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE

Summary—This paper' briefly reviews the distributed communication network concept in which each station is connected to all adjacent stations rather than to a few switching points, as in a centralized system. The payoff for a distributed configuration in terms of survivability in the cases of enemy attack directed against nodes, links or combinations of nodes and links is demonstrated. A comparison is made between diversity of assignment and perfect switching in distributed networks, and the feasibility of using low-cost unreliable communication links, even links so unreliable as to be unusable in present type networks, to form highly reliable networks is discussed.

The requirements for a future all-digital data distributed network which provides common user service for a wide range of users having different requirements is considered. The use of a standard format message block permits building relatively simple switching mechanisms using an adaptive store-and-forward routing policy to handle all forms of digital data including digital voice. This network rapidly responds to changes in the network status. Recent history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection. Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any central, and therefore vulnerable, control point.

#### INTRODUCTION

ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, sponsored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

Calif. <sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governmental or private research sponsors. (a) (b) (c)

Fig. 1—(a) Centralized. (b) Decentralized. (c) Distributed networks

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any *i*th station and any *j*th station, provided a path can be drawn from the *i*th to the *j*th station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a **computer network**.
- ARPANET open decentralized computer network architecture.
  - 1969: First message sent
  - 1971: First e-mail sent
  - 1973: File Transfer Protocol (RFC 354)



On Distributed Communications Networks PAUL BARAN, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE

> Fig. 1-(a) Centralized, (b) Decentraliz ed. (c) Distributed networks

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any ith station and any jth station, provided a path can be drawn from the ith to the jth station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

<sup>2</sup> See L. J. Craig, and I. S. Reed, "Overlapping Tessellated Com-munications Networks," The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif., paper P-2359; July 5, 1961.

INTRODUCTION

history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any

central, and therefore vulnerable, control point.

networks is discussed.

ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, spon-sored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

Calif. <sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its govern-mental or private research sponsors.

- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a **computer network**.
- ARPANET open decentralized computer network architecture.
  - 1969: First message sent
  - 1971: First e-mail sent
  - 1973: File Transfer Protocol (RFC 354)
  - 1977: Network Voice Protocol (RFC 741)



Fig. 1-(a) Centralized. (b) Decentrali

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any ith station and any jth station, provided a path can be drawn from the ith to the jth station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

<sup>2</sup> See L. J. Craig, and I. S. Reed, "Overlapping Tessellated Com-munications Networks," The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif., paper P-2359; July 5, 1961.

to handle all forms of digital data including digital voice. This network rapidly responds to changes in the network status. Recent history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any central, and therefore vulnerable, control point. INTRODUCTION ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred

networks is discussed.

major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, spon-sored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

Calif. <sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its govern-mental or private research sponsors.

The requirements for a future all-digital data distributed network which provides common user service for a wide range of users having different requirements is considered. The use of a standard format message block permits building relatively simple switching mechanisms using an adaptive store-and-forward routing policy

On Distributed Communications Networks

- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a **computer network**.
- ARPANET open decentralized computer network architecture.
  - 1969: First message sent
  - 1971: First e-mail sent
  - 1973: File Transfer Protocol (RFC 354)
  - 1977: Network Voice Protocol (RFC 741)
  - 1981: Internet Protocol v4



Fig. 1-(a) Centralized. (b) Decentrali

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any ith station and any jth station, provided a path can be drawn from the ith to the jth station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

<sup>2</sup> See L. J. Craig, and I. S. Reed, "Overlapping Tessellated Com-munications Networks," The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif., paper P-2359; July 5, 1961.

INTRODUCTION ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any

central, and therefore vulnerable, control point.

networks is discussed.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, spon-sored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

Calif. <sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its govern-mental or private research sponsors.

- 1989 Tim Berners-Lee presented a proposal for an information management system that would enable sharing of resources over a **computer network**.
- ARPANET open decentralized computer network architecture.
  - 1969: First message sent
  - 1971: First e-mail sent
  - 1973: File Transfer Protocol (RFC 354)
  - 1977: Network Voice Protocol (RFC 741)
  - 1981: Internet Protocol v4
  - 1987: number of hosts > 10 000

#### PAUL BARAN, SENIOR MEMBER, IEEE Summary-This paper1 briefly reviews the distributed communication network concept in which each station is connected to all adjacent stations rather than to a few switching points, as in a centralized system. The payoff for a distributed configuration in terms of survivability in the cases of enemy attack directed against nodes, links or combinations of nodes and links is demon A comparison is made between diversity of assignment and perfect switching in distributed networks, and the feasibility of using low-cost unreliable communication links, even links so unreliable as to be unusable in present type networks, to form highly reliable

On Distributed Communications Networks

The requirements for a future all-digital data distributed network which provides common user service for a wide range of users having different requirements is considered. The use of a standard format message block permits building relatively simple switching mechanisms using an adaptive store-and-forward routing policy to handle all forms of digital data including digital voice. This network rapidly responds to changes in the network status. Recent history of measured network traffic is used to modify path selection Simulation results are shown to indicate that highly efficient routing can be performed by local control without the necessity for any central, and therefore vulnerable, control point.

networks is discussed.

#### INTRODUCTION

ET US CONSIDER the synthesis of a communication network which will allow several hundred major communications stations to talk with one another after an enemy attack. As a criterion of survivability we elect to use the percentage of stations both surviving the physical attack and remaining in electrical connection with the largest single group of surviving stations. This criterion is chosen as a conservative measure of the ability of the surviving stations to operate together as a coherent entity after the attack. This means that small groups of stations isolated from the single largest group are considered to be ineffective.

Although one can draw a wide variety of networks, they all factor into two components: centralized (or star) and distributed (or grid or mesh). (See types (a) and (c), respectively, in Fig. 1.)

The centralized network is obviously vulnerable as destruction of a single central node destroys communication between the end stations. In practice, a mixture of star and mesh components is used to form communications networks. For example, type (b) in Fig. 1 shows the hierarchical structure of a set of stars connected in the form of a larger star with an additional link forming a

Manuscript received October 9, 1963. This paper was presented at the First Congress of the Information Systems Sciences, spon-sored by the MITRE Corporation, Bedford, Mass., and the USAF Electronic Systems Division, Hot Springs, Va., November, 1962. The author is with The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.

<sup>1</sup> Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of The RAND Corporation or the official opinion or policy of any of its governntal or private research spon

Fig. 1-(a) Centralized. (b) Decentrali

loop. Such a network is sometimes called a "decentralized" network, because complete reliance upon a single point is not always required.

#### EXAMINATION OF A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Since destruction of a small number of nodes in a decentralized network can destroy communications, the properties, problems, and hopes of building "distributed" communications networks are of paramount interest.

The term "redundancy level" is used as a measure of connectivity, as defined in Fig. 2. A minimum span network, one formed with the smallest number of links possible, is chosen as a reference point and is called "a network of redundancy level one." If two times as many links are used in a gridded network than in a minimum span network, the network is said to have a redundancy level of two. Fig. 2 defines connectivity of levels 1, 11, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. Redundancy level is equivalent to link-to-node ratio in an infinite size array of stations. Obviously, at levels above three there are alternate methods of constructing the network. However, it was found that there is little difference regardless of which method is used. Such an alternate method is shown for levels three and four, labelled R'. This specific alternate mode is also used for levels six and eight.

Each node and link in the array of Fig. 2 has the capacity and the switching flexibility to allow transmission between any ith station and any jth station, provided a path can be drawn from the ith to the jth station.

Starting with a network composed of an array of stations connected as in Fig. 3, an assigned percentage of nodes and links is destroyed. If, after this operation,

### NUMBER OF INTERNET HOSTS

INTERNET DOMAIN SURVEY <u>HTTPS://WWW.ISC.ORG/NETWORK/SURVEY/</u>

## NUMBER OF INTERNET HOSTS



INTERNET DOMAIN SURVEY <u>HTTPS://WWW.ISC.ORG/NETWORK/SURVEY/</u>

#### HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE WHAT HAS BEEN CHANGING?

- The Internet of Things (IoT)
  - The first **thing** (non-computer) connected to the Internet ever: the Toaster
    - It was connected to the Internet with TCP/IP networking and controlled with SNMP <u>http://www.livinginternet.com/i/ia\_myths\_toast.htm</u>
  - In 2008 the number of things connected to the Internet exceeded the number of people on earth.
    - Dave Evans, Cisco, The Internet of Things, <u>http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en\_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoT\_IBSG\_0411FINAL.pdf</u>
- Web users and their expectations:
  - multiple platforms, simultaneous screening
  - consistent user experience **responsive web design**
  - little or no latency impatience of web users
    - Note: an average attention span of an Internet user shortened from 12sec in 2000 to 8sec in 2015
    - Less than a goldfish (9sec)!
    - <u>http://time.com/3858309/attention-spans-goldfish/</u>
- Technology:
  - shift of responsibility from the server to the client
  - <u>http://www.evolutionoftheweb.com/</u>

### HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE WHAT HAS BEEN CHANGING

- The Internet of Things (IoT)
  - The first thing (non-computer) connected to the Internet ever:
    - It was connected to the Internet with TCP/IP networking and controlle <u>http://www.livinginternet.com/i/ia\_myths\_toast.htm</u>
  - In 2008 the number of things connected to the Internet exceed earth.
    - Dave Evans, Cisco, The Internet of Things, <u>http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en\_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/loT\_IBS</u>
- Web users and their expectations:
  - multiple platforms, simultaneous screening
  - consistent user experience responsive web design
  - little or no latency impatience of web users
    - Note: an average attention span of an Internet user shortened from 12sec in 2000 to 8sec in 2015
    - Less than a goldfish (9sec)!
    - <u>http://time.com/3858309/attention-spans-goldfish/</u>
- Technology:
  - shift of responsibility from the server to the client
  - http://www.evolutionoftheweb.com/

#### HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE WHAT HAS BEEN CHANGING?

- The Internet of Things (IoT)
  - The first **thing** (non-computer) connected to the Internet ever: the Toaster
    - It was connected to the Internet with TCP/IP networking and controlled with SNMP <u>http://www.livinginternet.com/i/ia\_myths\_toast.htm</u>
  - In 2008 the number of things connected to the Internet exceeded the number of people on earth.
    - Dave Evans, Cisco, The Internet of Things, <u>http://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en\_us/about/ac79/docs/innov/IoT\_IBSG\_0411FINAL.pdf</u>
- Web users and their expectations:
  - multiple platforms, simultaneous screening
  - consistent user experience **responsive web design**
  - little or no latency impatience of web users
    - Note: an average attention span of an Internet user shortened from 12sec in 2000 to 8sec in 2015
    - Less than a goldfish (9sec)!
    - <u>http://time.com/3858309/attention-spans-goldfish/</u>
- Technology:
  - shift of responsibility from the server to the client
  - <u>http://www.evolutionoftheweb.com/</u>

1. HTML — a markup language for formatting and publishing hypertext documents.

- 1. HTML a markup language for formatting and publishing hypertext documents.
- **2.** URL a uniform notation scheme for uniquely identifying accessible **resources** over the network.

- 1. HTML a markup language for formatting and publishing hypertext documents.
- **2.** URL a uniform notation scheme for uniquely identifying accessible **resources** over the network.
- **3. HTTP** a protocol for **transporting** messages (requests and responses) over the network.

#### BUILDING BLOCKS OF THE WEB WORLDWIDEWEB BROWSER



#### BUILDING BLOCKS OF THE WEB HTTPD WEB SERVER



## HYPERTEXT MARKUP LANGUAGE

- HTML was defined by Tim Berners-Lee as an application of the Standard Generalized Markup Language (SGML).
- HTML is used for describing both the content and the structure of web pages.
- HTML is a markup language that web browsers use to interpret and compose text, images and other material into web pages.

## HYPERTEXT MARKUP LANGUAGE

- Elements of HTML structure
  - Headings, paragraphs, tables, lists, photos, etc.
  - Hyperlinks
  - **Design forms** for conducting transactions with remote services, for use in searching for information, making reservations, ordering products.



## UNIFORM RESOURCE IDENTIFIER

- A Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) is a compact sequence of characters that identifies an **abstract** or **physical** resource.
- A Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) provides a simple and extensible means for identifying a resource.
- The term "Uniform Resource Locator" (URL) refers to the subset of URIs that, in addition to identifying a resource, provide a means of locating the resource by describing its primary access mechanis (e.g., its network "location").

## UNIFORM RESOURCE LOCATOR

scheme://[user:password@]host[:port]/path/.../[?query-string][#fragment]

- **scheme** protocol used to connect to the server
  - // are optional in some protocols and compulsory in others
- **user:password** optional user name and password for authentication
- **host** IP address of the server (or its domain name)
- **port** optional port number to which the target server listens
- **path** path to the desired resource on the server
- **query-string** key=value dynamic parameters separated by &
- **fragment** positional marker within the requested document

## UNIFORM RESOURCE LOCATOR

- Schemes
  - Case insensitive
  - By convention lowercase
  - May use +, ., -
- Path
  - Must begin with a single slash (/) if host is present
  - Must not begin with two slashes (//)
- Permitted characters in variable parts
  - Lowercase and uppercase letters
  - Arabic numbers
  - ASCII encoding
  - Other symbols must be octet-encoded (e.g., %26 instead of &)

## HYPERTEXT TRANSFER PROTOCOL

- The Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP)
  - Application-level
  - Textual
  - Stateless & sessionless
    - No requirement for persistent connection
    - Source of troubles for software developers
  - For distributed, collaborative, hypermedia information systems
  - Can be used for many tasks beyond its use for hypertext
- HTTP has been in use by the World-Wide Web global information initiative since 1990. HTTP/1.1 (RFC 2616) in wide use since 1999. HTTP/2.0 (RFC 7540) was introduced in 2015.

### HYPERTEXT TRANSFER PROTOCOL

- In the following I will describe HTTP/1.1
- Then show what changed in HTTP/2.0

- The HTTP protocol uses the **request-response paradigm**.
- An HTTP transaction consists of a single request from a client, followed by a single response from the server.







- The HTTP protocol uses the **request-response paradigm**.
- An HTTP transaction consists of a single request from a client, followed by a single response from the server.



- The HTTP protocol uses the **request-response paradigm**.
- An HTTP transaction consists of a single request from a client, followed by a single response from the server.



- The HTTP protocol uses the **request-response paradigm**.
- An HTTP transaction consists of a single request from a client, followed by a single response from the server.



## HTTP MESSAGES STRUCTURE

- HTTP messages are simple, formatted blocks of data.
- Requests and response have a similar structure:

```
message = {start-line}\r\n
    ({message-header}\r\n)*
    \r\n
    {message-body}
```

```
{start-line} = {Request-Line} | {Status-Line}
{message-header} = Field-Name ':' Field-Value
```

```
{Request-Line} = {method} {URI} HTTP/{version}
{Status-Line} = HTTP/{version} {status} {explanation}
```
### HTTP REQUEST METHODS (VERBS)

• **GET** — retrieves the specified resource. GET does not have a body and, until HTTP 1.1, was not required to have headers.

GET /standards/ HTTP/1.1 Host: www.w3.org User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10.9; rv:35.0)

- POST requests that the target resource processes the data enclosed in the message body according to specific semantics.
- **PUT** requests that the state of the resource be created or replaced with the state enclosed in the message body.
- **DELETE** deletes the specified resource.

### HTTP REQUEST METHODS (VERBS)

- HEAD functionally similar to GET, except that the server responds without message body. It's used to retrieve the server headers for a particular resource, generally to check if the resource has changed, via timestamps.
- **OPTIONS** Returns the HTTP methods that the server supports for the specified URL.
- **TRACE** Echoes back the received request so that a client can see what (if any) changes or additions have been made by intermediate servers. Each intermediate proxy or gateway would inject its IP or DNS name into the **Via** header field. This can be used for diagnostic purposes.

### HTTP REQUEST METHODS (VERBS)

- **PATCH** Updates portion of resource at the given URL (RFC 5789)
- CONNECT Establish a tunnel to the server identified by the target resource. It is intended only for use in requests to a proxy (RFC 2817)

# HTTP METHOD PROPERTIES

- A method is "safe" if its defined semantics is essentially readonly. Safe methods does not change the state of the server:
  - GET
  - HEAD
  - OPTIONS
  - TRACE
  - CONNECT
- A method is considered **"idempotent"** if the effect of multiple identical requests with that method is the same as the effect for a single such request:
  - safe methods
  - PUT
  - DELETE
  - **PATCH** (optionally, in conjunction with ETag, see RFC 5789 for details)





CLIENT



CLIENT









## HTTP RESPONSE STATUS CODES

- **1xx** Informational does not include message body.
  - **100** Continue
  - **101** Switching protocols

# HTTP RESPONSE STATUS CODES

- **1xx** Informational does not include message body.
  - **100** Continue
  - **101** Switching protocols
- 2xx Successful the action requested by the client was received, understood, accepted and processed successfully.
  - 200 OK
  - **201** Created
  - **202** Accepted

# HTTP RESPONSE STATUS CODES

- **1xx** Informational does not include message body.
  - **100** Continue
  - **101** Switching protocols
- 2xx Successful the action requested by the client was received, understood, accepted and processed successfully.
  - **200** OK
  - **201** Created
  - **202** Accepted
- 3xx Redirection the client must take additional action to complete the request.
  - **301** Moved permanently
  - **302** Found (in HTTP/1.0: Moved temporarily)
  - **303** See other (changes method to GET)
  - **304** Not modified
  - **307** Temporary Redirect (does not change method)
  - **308** Permanent Redirect (does not change method)
  - 301 & 302 are not implemented consistently: some user agents change method to GET, others do not; the standard says to not change the method

# HTTP REDIRECTION PURPOSES

- Similar domain names
  - wikipedia.net, wikipedia.org, wikipedia.com
- URL shortening services
  - <u>http://goo.gl</u>, <u>http://bitly.com</u>
- Request to a directory without terminating slash
  - <u>http://www.cs.put.poznan.pl/mszubert</u>
- Redirecting users to a login page (301 vs. 302).
- Post/Redirection/Get









CLIENT











# HTTP RESPONSE ERROR CODES

- 4xx Client Error the client failed either by requesting an invalid resource or making a bad request.
  - **400** Bad request
  - 401 Not authorized
  - **402** Payment required
  - **403** Forbidden
  - **404** Not found
  - **405** Method not allowed
  - **406** Not acceptable
  - **418** I'm a teapot

# HTTP RESPONSE ERROR CODES

- 4xx Client Error the client failed either by requesting an invalid resource or making a bad request.
  - **400** Bad request
  - 401 Not authorized
  - **402** Payment required
  - **403** Forbidden
  - **404** Not found
  - **405** Method not allowed
  - **406** Not acceptable
  - **418** I'm a teapot

#### • **5xx** — Server Error — the server failed to fulfill a valid request.

- **500** Internal server error
- **501** Not implemented
- **503** Service unavailable

## HTTP MESSAGES STRUCTURE

```
5 {start-line} = {Request-Line} | {Status-Line}
6 {message-header} = Field-Name ':' Field-Value
```

# HTTP MESSAGES STRUCTURE

5 {start-line} = {Request-Line} | {Status-Line} 6 {message-header} = Field-Name ':' Field-Value

- Headers are a form of message metadata and are broadly classified into:
  - general headers
  - request-specific headers
  - response-specific headers
  - entity headers

# USES OF HEADERS

- Informational
- Virtual hosting
- Content negotiation
- Client identification
- Authentication
- Caching

## GENERAL HEADERS

- **Date** provides a date and time stamp telling when the message was created.
- Via shows what intermediaries (proxies, gateways) the message has gone through.
- **Connection** allows clients and servers to specify options about the request/response connection.
- Cache-Control used to pass caching directions along with the message.
- Transfer–Encoding used to compress or to break the response into smaller parts (with the chunked value).

### INFORMATIONAL REQUEST HEADERS

- Host gives the hostname and port to which the request is being sent; introduced to enable a single server to service multiple domains (virtual hosting).
- Referer identifies the address of the webpage that linked to the resource being requested.
- User-agent tells the server the name of the application making the request.
- From the email address of the user making the request.
- Client-IP the IP address of the client's machine.

### INFORMATIONAL RESPONSE HEADERS

- Server identifies the server generating the message.
- Warning stores text for human consumption, something that would be useful when tracing a problem.
- Location contains the new URL when redirecting.
- Age provided by proxies, time in seconds since the message was generated on the server.
- **Allow** valid actions for a specified resource.

### CONTENT NEGOTIATION REQUEST HEADERS — ACCEPT

- Content negotiation a mechanism that allows to serve different versions of a document at the same URI, so that user agents can specify which version fit their capabilities the best.
- 1 Accept: text/html, text/plain;q=0.3
- 2 Accept-Charset: utf-8, iso-8859-13;q=0.8
- 3 Accept-Encoding: gzip;q=1.0, identity;q=0.5, \*;q=0
- 4 Accept-Language: pl, en-us;q=0.7
- Accept accepted Internet media types (MIME). <u>https://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/media-types.xhtml</u>
- Accept-Encoding used mainly for HTTP compression.

### CONTENT NEGOTIATION RESPONSE ENTITY HEADERS

- Message typing is necessary for both servers and browsers to determine proper actions in processing messages.
- Browsers use types and sub-types either to select a proper content-rendering module or to invoke a third-party tool.
  - 1 Content-Type: text/html; charset=utf-8
  - 2 Content-Encoding: gzip
  - 3 Content-Language: pl
  - 4 Content-Length: 348
  - 5 Content-Location: /index.html

### CLIENT IDENTIFICATION STATELESS NATURE OF HTTP

- HTTP is stateless and sessionless, each request-response transaction is independent.
- Most of the web applications are highly stateful, rely on tracking and storing user sessions.

### CLIENT IDENTIFICATION STATELESS NATURE OF HTTP

- HTTP is stateless and sessionless, each request-response transaction is independent.
- Most of the web applications are highly stateful, rely on tracking and storing user sessions.
- How to determine which requests come from **the same** user?

### CLIENT IDENTIFICATION STATELESS NATURE OF HTTP

- HTTP is stateless and sessionless, each request-response transaction is independent.
- Most of the web applications are highly stateful, rely on tracking and storing user sessions.
- How to determine which requests come from **the same** user?
- The server can identify and track users by employing:
  - HTTP headers informational request headers: From, Referer, User-Agent
  - Client IP address tracking identify users by their IP addresses: Client-IP
  - Extending **URLs** generating user-specific URLs by embedding identity
  - **Cookies** the **most popular** and non-intrusive approach (RFC 6265)
  - **ETag** unique identifier of resource version
  - User login authentication headers: WW—Authenticate, Authorization

#### CLIENT IDENTIFICATION IP ADDRESS TRACKING

• The client IP address typically is not present in the HTTP headers, but web servers can find the IP address of the other side of the TCP connection.

#### CLIENT IDENTIFICATION IP ADDRESS TRACKING

- The client IP address typically is not present in the HTTP headers, but web servers can find the IP address of the other side of the TCP connection.
- Using the client IP address to identify the user has weaknesses:
  - Client IP addresses describe only the computer being used, not the user.
  - Many ISPs assign IP addresses to users **dynamically**.
  - Users are hidden behind **Network Address Translation** (NAT) devices.
  - HTTP proxies and gateways typically open new TCP connections to the origin server. Some proxies add Client-ip or X-Forwarded-For extension headers to preserve the original IP address.
  - Anonymous proxies make tracking IP adress impractical.
## CLIENT IDENTIFICATION EMBEDDING INFORMATION INTO URLS

- Special versions of each URL for each user (also called **fat URL**s).
- Typically, a real URL is extended by adding some state information (e.g. unique session ID) to the end of the URL or to a **query string**, e.g. http://[host]/edit.jsp;jsessionid=123

## CLIENT IDENTIFICATION EMBEDDING INFORMATION INTO URLS

- Special versions of each URL for each user (also called **fat URL**s).
- Typically, a real URL is extended by adding some state information (e.g. unique session ID) to the end of the URL or to a **query string**, e.g. http://[host]/edit.jsp;jsessionid=123
- Problems:
  - Ugly URLs URLs displayed in the browser are confusing for new users.
  - Can't share URLs URLs contain state information about a particular session.
  - Extra server load the server needs to rewrite HTML to *fatten* the hyperlinks.
  - Not persistent across sessions all information is lost when the user logs out, unless he **bookmarks** the particular URL.

• HTTP State Management Mechanism (RFC 6265)





SERVER

CLIENT

• HTTP State Management Mechanism (RFC 6265)

#### 1. REQUEST



• HTTP State Management Mechanism (RFC 6265)



• HTTP State Management Mechanism (RFC 6265)



• HTTP State Management Mechanism (RFC 6265)



#### CLIENT IDENTIFICATION TYPES OF COOKIES

- Session cookies also known as in-memory cookies or transient cookies. Web browsers normally delete session cookies when the user closes the browser.
- Persistent cookies also referred to as tracking cookies. Instead of expiring when the web browser is closed, persistent cookies expire at a specific date or after a specific length of time.

1 HTTP/1.0 200 OK

- 2 Content-type: text/html
- 3 Set-Cookie: ID=494647c; Max-Age=86400
- 4 Set-Cookie: ID=abc123; Expires=Wed, 09 Jun 2021 10:18:14 GMT

## CLIENT IDENTIFICATION ISSUES WITH COOKIES

- Session hijacking and cookie theft:
  - network sniffing resolved by using Secure cookies
    - Secure cookies are sent back by the browser only if the connection is encrypted
  - **cross-site scripting** mitigated by using **HttpOnly** cookies
    - HttpOnly cookies are not available to scripts in the browser
  - cross-site request forgery mitigated by using SameSite cookies
    - SameSite cookies are sent back by the browser only if they were created by the same site as the site where the HTTP request originates
    - SameSite attribute is implemented inconsistently across browsers as of 03.2020, some of them default to SameSite=Lax, while others to SameSite=None (see <u>https://caniuse.com/#search=samesite</u>)





VICTIM USER







VICTIM

USER



#### 1. LOGON REQUEST

EST







1. LOGON REQUEST

2. SESSION COOKIE

VICTIM USER

3. GET /





VICTIM

USER



1. LOGON REQUEST

2. SESSION COOKIE



VICTIM USER

#### 1. LOGON REQUEST

2. SESSION COOKIE

SERVER





## CLIENT IDENTIFICATION ISSUES WITH COOKIES

Cookies can be disabled or deleted by users in their browsers

#### • Privacy concerns:

- Third party cookies may track users across the Internet
  - E.g., Google Analytics
- EU: The Right to be Forgotten Service providers are required to ask users whether they accept use of a tracking mechanism (in Poland from 2013)
  - Penalties up to €1 million or 2% of their sale
  - Replaced in May 2018 by:
- EU: General Data Protection Regulation
  Service providers are required to ask the users for consent for use of data separately for each purpose
  - Penalties up to €20 million or 4% of their worldwide turnover

#### ETag

• Piece of information that uniquely identifies a resource and its version

- E.g., a cryptographic sum: crc, md5, sha-1, sha-256,...
- Sent by server in HTTP headers
- Intended for effective caching
- Browser that supports ETags
  - Sends header in every subsequent request:
  - If-None-Match: "etag-value"
- Server responses
  - 304 Not Modified or
  - 200 Ok

• To track a user, send different ETag for the same resource each time, a request has no ETag included





SERVER

CLIENT

• To track a user, send different ETag for the same resource each time, a request has no ETag included



• To track a user, send different ETag for the same resource each time, a request has no ETag included



 To track a user, send different ETag for the same resource each time, a request has no ETag included



 To track a user, send different ETag for the same resource each time, a request has no ETag included



• HTTP provides built-in support for Basic Authentication, where user credentials formatted as **user:password** are transmitted via the **Authorization** header as a **Base64**-encoded string.

- HTTP provides built-in support for Basic Authentication, where user credentials formatted as user:password are transmitted via the Authorization header as a Base64-encoded string.
  - 1 HTTP/1.1 401 Unauthorized
  - 2 Server: Apache/2.2.4
  - 3 WWW-Authenticate: Basic

- HTTP provides built-in support for Basic Authentication, where user credentials formatted as user:password are transmitted via the Authorization header as a Base64-encoded string.
  - 1 HTTP/1.1 401 Unauthorized
  - 2 Server: Apache/2.2.4
  - 3 WWW-Authenticate: Basic
  - 1 GET http://localhost/protected/ HTTP/1.1
  - 2 Authorization: Basic dXNlcjpwYXNzd29yZA==

- HTTP provides built-in support for Basic Authentication, where user credentials formatted as **user:password** are transmitted via the **Authorization** header as a **Base64**-encoded string.
  - 1 HTTP/1.1 401 Unauthorized
  - 2 Server: Apache/2.2.4
  - 3 WWW-Authenticate: Basic
  - 1 GET http://localhost/protected/ HTTP/1.1
  - 2 Authorization: Basic dXNlcjpwYXNzd29yZA==
- If the server validates the authorization credentials, browser uses them as the value of the Authorization header in future requests to **dependent URLs**.

- HTTP provides built-in support for Basic Authentication, where user credentials formatted as **user:password** are transmitted via the **Authorization** header as a **Base64**-encoded string.
  - 1 HTTP/1.1 401 Unauthorized
  - 2 Server: Apache/2.2.4
  - 3 WWW-Authenticate: Basic
  - 1 GET http://localhost/protected/ HTTP/1.1
  - 2 Authorization: Basic dXNlcjpwYXNzd29yZA==
- If the server validates the authorization credentials, browser uses them as the value of the Authorization header in future requests to **dependent URLs**.
- Basic authentication is insecure by default credentials are simply encoded (not encrypted) — rarely used without HTTPS.

- Server sends a seed nonce and a message realm to the client
- Client responds with MD5 of credentials concatenated with realm, method, URI, and nonce
- Algorithm for calculating response (RFC 2069):

- Server sends a seed nonce and a message realm to the client
- Client responds with MD5 of credentials concatenated with realm, method, URI, and nonce
- Algorithm for calculating response (RFC 2069): HA1=MD5(username:realm:password) HA2=MD5(method:digestURI) response=MD5(HA1:nonce:HA2)

- RFC 2617 defines more secure way to digest authentication
  - Recurrent MD5 hashes
  - A counter of requests incremented by client
  - A client-generated seed
- More secure than basic and RFC 2069 digest authentication, but
  - Passwords must be stored in plain text on server side to calculate MD5s
  - MD5 collisions are easy to generate
- RFC 7616 extends digest authentication by negotiation of checksum algorithm
  - A proposal of standard as of 03.2020
  - Partial implementations in major browsers as of 03.2020

- Involves a trusted third party performing authorization
  - Authorized client receives OAuth 2.0 token
- Client exchanges the token with server
- Server verifies the token with the trusted third party
- RFC 6750
  - A proposal of standard as of 03.2020













#### **1.** AUTHORIZATION REQUEST






2. TOKEN





2. TOKEN

TRUSTED

THIRD

PARTY





#### • Advantages:

- The server may not know credentials of the client and still identify it
- The server does not store any sensitive information

#### • Disadvantages:

- The token may leak through unencrypted connection
- Limited support in implementations, requires developer's intervention

• How do HTTP messages move through the network?







CLIENT

- How do HTTP messages move through the network?
- A **TCP connection** must be established between the client and server before they can communicate with each other.



- How do HTTP messages move through the network?
- A **TCP connection** must be established between the client and server before they can communicate with each other.



- How do HTTP messages move through the network?
- A **TCP connection** must be established between the client and server before they can communicate with each other.



- How do HTTP messages move through the network?
- A **TCP connection** must be established between the client and server before they can communicate with each other.



- How do HTTP messages move through the network?
- A **TCP connection** must be established between the client and server before they can communicate with each other.



# PERSISTENT CONNECTIONS

• When does a browser open and close a **connection**?

# PERSISTENT CONNECTIONS

- When does a browser open and close a **connection**?
- HTTP/1.0 all connections were closed after a single transaction.
  - HTTP is stateless it does not require extended connection lifetime.
  - Lot of network delays due to **three-way handshake** and **slow-start**.

#### TCP connection #1, Request #1: HTML request



#### TCP connection #2, Request #2: CSS request



# PERSISTENT CONNECTIONS

- When does a browser open and close a **connection**?
- HTTP/1.0 all connections were closed after a single transaction.
  - HTTP is stateless it does not require extended connection lifetime.
  - Lot of network delays due to **three-way handshake** and **slow-start**.

# PERSISTENT CONNECTIONS

- When does a browser open and close a **connection**?
- HTTP/1.0 all connections were closed after a single transaction.
  - HTTP is stateless it does not require extended connection lifetime.
  - Lot of network delays due to **three-way handshake** and **slow-start**.

#### • HTTP/1.1 — introduced persistent connections:

- Reducing connection-establishment delays,
- Long-lived connections that stay open until the client closes them.
- Persistent connections are **default**, **Connection:** keep-alive is redundant.
- Close of the connection requires the client to set the Connection: close request header.
- Most web servers close a persistent connection if it is **idle** for some period.

#### TCP connection #1, Request #1-2: HTML + CSS



 Persistent HTTP allows us to reuse an existing TCP connection between multiple application requests, but it implies a strict first in, first out (FIFO) queuing order on the client.

- Persistent HTTP allows us to reuse an existing TCP connection between multiple application requests, but it implies a strict first in, first out (FIFO) queuing order on the client.
- **HTTP pipelining** is a small but important optimization to this workflow, which allows us to relocate the FIFO queue from the client (**request queuing**) to the server (**response queuing**):
  - Browsers can send requests without waiting for responses.
  - Servers are responsible for submitting responses to browser requests in the order of their arrival.





• What if the first request **hangs** indefinitely or simply takes a very long time to generate on the server?

- What if the first request **hangs** indefinitely or simply takes a very long time to generate on the server?
- Head-of-line blocking results in suboptimal delivery:
  - underutilized network links,
  - server buffering costs,
  - unpredictable latency delays for the client.

- What if the first request **hangs** indefinitely or simply takes a very long time to generate on the server?
- Head-of-line blocking results in suboptimal delivery:
  - underutilized network links,
  - server buffering costs,
  - unpredictable latency delays for the client.
- HTTP pipelining adoption has remained very limited despite its many benefits — some browsers support pipelining, usually as an advanced option, but most have it disabled.



SERVER

CLIENT

#### HTTP REQUEST



SERVER

CLIENT

#### HTTP REQUEST



#### HTTP REQUEST



CLIENT

#### HTTP REQUEST



- Connection a virtual circuit established between two programs for the purpose of communication.
- Proxy an intermediary program which acts as both a server and a client for the purpose of making requests on behalf of other clients.

#### HTTP REQUEST



- Connection a virtual circuit established between two programs for the purpose of communication.
- Proxy an intermediary program which acts as both a server and a client for the purpose of making requests on behalf of other clients.

#### HTTP REQUEST



- Connection a virtual circuit established between two programs for the purpose of communication.
- Proxy an intermediary program which acts as both a server and a client for the purpose of making requests on behalf of other clients.

### TYPES OF PROXIES: TRANSPARENCY

- A **transparent** proxy does not modify the request or response; client is unaware of its existence:
  - load-balancing
  - monitoring, logging, debugging



### TYPES OF PROXIES: TRANSPARENCY

- A transparent proxy does not modify the request or response; client is unaware of its existence:
  - load-balancing
  - monitoring, logging, debugging



- A non-transparent proxy modifies the request or response in order to provide some added service:
  - content filtering
  - removing confidential data
  - providing online anonymity



### FORWARD AND REVERSE PROXIES



SERVER



CLIENT

#### FORWARD AND REVERSE PROXIES


### FORWARD AND REVERSE PROXIES



### FORWARD AND REVERSE PROXIES



- Forward proxy proxies in behalf of requesting hosts, each client must be configured to explicitly use this proxy.
- Reverse proxy proxies in behalf of servers, appears to clients as ordinary server, used to take the computational load off the web servers, e.g. TLS acceleration.

### FORWARD AND REVERSE PROXIES



- Forward proxy proxies in behalf of requesting hosts, each client must be configured to explicitly use this proxy.
- Reverse proxy proxies in behalf of servers, appears to clients as ordinary server, used to take the computational load off the web servers, e.g. TLS acceleration.

## HTTP CACHING

- HTTP caching a set of mechanisms allowing HTTP responses to be held in some form of temporary storage.
- Instead of satisfying future requests by going back to the original data source, the saved copy of the data can be used.

## HTTP CACHING

- HTTP caching a set of mechanisms allowing HTTP responses to be held in some form of temporary storage.
- Instead of satisfying future requests by going back to the original data source, the saved copy of the data can be used.
- Caching can reduce latency, help prevent bandwith bottlenecks as well as improve user experience.

## HTTP CACHING

- **HTTP caching** a set of mechanisms allowing HTTP responses to be held in some form of temporary storage.
- Instead of satisfying future requests by going back to the original data source, the saved copy of the data can be used.
- Caching can reduce latency, help prevent bandwith bottlenecks as well as improve user experience.
- Two types of caches can be employed:
  - public cache shared among multiple users, resides on a proxy (forward or reverse).
  - **private** cache stored by a **browser** for a single user.



SERVER





CLIENT

















SERVER





CLIENT

#### 1. REQUEST



#### 1. REQUEST



#### 1. REQUEST



SERVER



#### CACHING PROXY

2. CACHED?
 YES! CACHE HIT

CLIENT

#### 1. REQUEST



 Keeping the content fresh is one of the primary responsibilities of the cache — HTTP provides a simple mechanism of **document expiration**.

#### 1. REQUEST



 Keeping the content fresh is one of the primary responsibilities of the cache — HTTP provides a simple mechanism of **document expiration**.



 Keeping the content fresh is one of the primary responsibilities of the cache — HTTP provides a simple mechanism of **document expiration**.

# DOCUMENT EXPIRATION

- HTTP server can attach an expiration date to each response using the Cache-Control and Expires headers.
   1 HTTP/1.1 200 OK
  - 2 Last-Modified: Wed, 25 Jan 2012 17:55:15 GMT
  - 3 Expires: Sat, 22 Jan 2022 17:55:15 GMT
  - 4 Cache-Control: max-age=315360000, public
- The cache can serve the copy as long as the age of the document is within the expiration date.

# DOCUMENT EXPIRATION

- HTTP server can attach an expiration date to each response using the Cache-Control and Expires headers.
   1 HTTP/1.1 200 OK
  - 2 Last-Modified: Wed, 25 Jan 2012 17:55:15 GMT
  - 3 Expires: Sat, 22 Jan 2022 17:55:15 GMT
  - 4 Cache-Control: max-age=315360000, public
- The cache can serve the copy as long as the age of the document is within the expiration date.
- Once a cached document expires, the cache must revalidate with the server to check if the document has changed and update its local copy accordingly.



SERVER





CLIENT





#### 2. CACHED?



SERVER



#### CACHING PROXY

CLIENT

2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT

1. REQUEST



SERVER



CLIENT

2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT

3. VALID?



SERVER



2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT
3. VALID? NO! EXPIRED CLIENT





5. VALID?



5. VALID? YES! REVALIDATE HIT



5. VALID? YES! REVALIDATE HIT



5. VALID? YES! REVALIDATE HIT

- 2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT
- 3. VALID?
  - NO! EXPIRED
- 7. UPDATE EXPIRATION DATE



5. VALID? YES! REVALIDATE HIT

2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT

- 3. VALID?
  - NO! EXPIRED
- 7. UPDATE EXPIRATION DATE

## REVALIDATE MISS




5. VALID?

2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT3. VALID? NO! EXPIRED



5. VALID? NO! <u>REVALIDATE</u> MISS

2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT3. VALID? NO! EXPIRED



- 5. VALID? NO! REVALIDATE MISS
- 2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT
  3. VALID? NO! EXPIRED



- 5. VALID?
  - NO! REVALIDATE MISS
- 2. CACHED? YES! CACHE HIT
- 3. VALID?
  - NO! EXPIRED
- 7. STORE RESPONSE



- 5. VALID? NO! REVALIDATE MISS
- 2. CACHED?
  - YES! CACHE HIT
- 3. VALID?
  - NO! EXPIRED
- 7. STORE RESPONSE

## SERVER REVALIDATION

### Document expiration

- The cache does not revalidate with the server for every request
- Save of bandwidth, time and reduction of the traffic
- Server revalidation is made with conditional methods.

### Conditional GET

- Ask the server to send back an object body only if the document is different than in the cache
- Otherwise, server responses with a small 304 Not Modified message without body
- Freshness check and the object fetch are combined into a single request by adding special **conditional headers**

### SERVER REVALIDATION CONDITIONAL HEADERS

• HTTP defines **five** conditional request headers; **two** of them are commonly used for cache revalidation.

### SERVER REVALIDATION CONDITIONAL HEADERS

- HTTP defines **five** conditional request headers; **two** of them are commonly used for cache revalidation.
- If-Modified-Since performs the requested method if the document has been modified since the specified date. This is used in conjunction with the Last-Modified server response header.

### SERVER REVALIDATION CONDITIONAL HEADERS

- HTTP defines **five** conditional request headers; **two** of them are commonly used for cache revalidation.
- If-Modified-Since performs the requested method if the document has been modified since the specified date. This is used in conjunction with the Last-Modified server response header.
- If-None-Match the server may provide special tags (ETag) on the document that act like serial numbers. The If-None-Match header performs the requested method if the cached tag differs from the tag in the server's document.

### SERVER REVALIDATION ENTITY TAG REVALIDATION

- Date-based revalidation is the most common technique, but there are situations when it is not adequate:
  - Documents rewritten **periodically** but containing the same data,
  - Servers cannot **accurately** determine modification dates,
  - One-second **granularity** of modification dates is not enough.

### SERVER REVALIDATION ENTITY TAG REVALIDATION

- Date-based revalidation is the most common technique, but there are situations when it is not adequate:
  - Documents rewritten periodically but containing the same data,
  - Servers cannot accurately determine modification dates,
  - One-second **granularity** of modification dates is not enough.
- HTTP allows you to compare document version identifiers called entity tags (ETags).
- Entity tags are arbitrary labels attached to the document which might contain a serial number, a checksum or other fingerprint of the document content.

# CONTENT DELIVERY NETWORKS

- Content delivery network (CDN) a large, geographically distributed network of specialized servers that accelerate the delivery of web content to internet-connected devices.
- The primary technique that a CDN uses to speed the delivery of web content to end users is **edge caching**.
- Edge caching entails storing replicas of static text, image, audio, and video content in multiple servers around the "edges" of the internet, so that user requests can be served by a nearby edge server rather than by a far-off origin server.



- Cache-Control header has a few different values to constrain how clients should cache the response.
- **public** public proxy servers can cache the response
- private only the browser can cache the response
- no-cache one must not cache the response, or one must revalidate cached response with use of other criteria
- **no-store** one must not cache the response

- Cache-Control: max-age sets a relative expiration time (in seconds) from the time the response is generated.
- Cache-Control: s-maxage acts like max-age but applies only to shared (public) caches.

- Cache-Control: max-age sets a relative expiration time (in seconds) from the time the response is generated.
- Cache-Control: s-maxage acts like max-age but applies only to shared (public) caches.
- If the server does not send expiration date, the client can use its own heuristic expiration algorithm to determine freshness:

1 time\_since\_modify = max(0, fetch\_time - server\_last\_modified);
2 new\_expiration\_time = time\_since\_modify \* lm\_factor;

- Cache-Control: max-age sets a relative expiration time (in seconds) from the time the response is generated.
- Cache-Control: s-maxage acts like max-age but applies only to shared (public) caches.
- If the server does not send expiration date, the client can use its own **heuristic expiration algorithm** to determine freshness:
  - 1 time\_since\_modify = max(0, fetch\_time server\_last\_modified);
    2 new\_expiration\_time = time\_since\_modify \* lm\_factor;
- Cache-Control: must-revalidate tells caches they cannot serve a **stale** copy of this object without first revalidating with the origin server. Caches are still free to serve fresh copies without revalidating.

## HTTPS — SECURE CONNECTIONS

 An additional security layer in the network protocol stack, between HTTP and TCP — the Secure Sockets Layer
 (SSL) or the improved Transport Layer Security (TLS).



## HTTPS — SECURE CONNECTIONS

 An additional security layer in the network protocol stack, between HTTP and TCP — the Secure Sockets Layer
 (SSL) or the improved Transport Layer Security (TLS).



## HTTPS — SECURE CONNECTIONS

 An additional security layer in the network protocol stack, between HTTP and TCP — the Secure Sockets Layer
 (SSL) or the improved Transport Layer Security (TLS).



## TRANSPORT LAYER SECURITY

- The TLS protocol provides three essential services that form a foundation of secure communication:
  - **encryption** using public-key cryptography allows the peers to negotiate a shared secret key (within a TLS handshake).
  - **authentication** to verify the identity of the server/client;
  - **integrity** to detect message tampering and forgery.

## TRANSPORT LAYER SECURITY

- The TLS protocol provides three essential services that form a foundation of secure communication:
  - **encryption** using public-key cryptography allows the peers to negotiate a shared secret key (within a TLS handshake).
  - **authentication** to verify the identity of the server/client;
  - **integrity** to detect message tampering and forgery.
- HTTPS encrypts all request and response traffic, including the HTTP headers and message body, and everything after the host name in the URL.



SERVER



CLIENT



SERVER



CLIENT

#### 1. REQUEST CERTIFICATE





CLIENT

0

#### 1. REQUEST CERTIFICATE

#### 2. CERTIFICATE



SERVER

CLIENT

0



#### 2. CERTIFICATE



SERVER

CLIENT

0

#### 1. REQUEST CERTIFICATE

#### 2. CERTIFICATE

#### 4. EXCHANGE SYMMETRIC KEY

SERVER

CLIENT

0

**3.** VERIFY

CERTIFICATE



#### 5. ENCRYPTED REQUEST

#### 1. REQUEST CERTIFICATE

#### 2. CERTIFICATE

#### 4. EXCHANGE SYMMETRIC KEY

SERVER

CLIENT

3. VERIFY

CERTIFICATE

#### 5. ENCRYPTED REQUEST

#### 6. ENCRYPTED RESPONSE

### HTTP/2

- HTTP history:
  - 1991: HTTP 0.9
  - 1996: HTTP 1.0 (RFC 1945)
  - 1997: HTTP 1.1 (RFC 2068)
  - 1999: HTTP 1.1 improved (RFC 2616)
  - 05.2015 HTTP/2 (RFC 7540, proposed standard)
- HTTP/2 maintains high-level compatibility with HTTP/1.1 (methods, status codes, header fields)
- Based on SPDY developed by Google since 2009.

## SPEEDY — MOTIVATION

 The Web has changed — <u>https://httparchive.org/reports/state-of-the-</u> <u>web?start=earliest&end=latest&view=list</u>

## SPEEDY — MOTIVATION

- The Web has changed <u>https://httparchive.org/reports/state-of-the-</u> <u>web?start=earliest&end=latest&view=list</u>
- HTTP was not designed for optimal performance:
  - **single** request per connection (until HTTP/1.1)
  - exclusively **client-initiated** requests
  - uncompressed and redundant headers
  - **optional** data compression

## SPEEDY — MOTIVATION

- The Web has changed <u>https://httparchive.org/reports/state-of-the-</u> <u>web?start=earliest&end=latest&view=list</u>
- HTTP was not designed for optimal performance:
  - **single** request per connection (until HTTP/1.1)
  - exclusively **client-initiated** requests
  - uncompressed and redundant headers
  - **optional** data compression
- Browsers and applications employ a number of tricks to improve the performance of the HTTP protocol.

# PARALLEL CONNECTIONS

- Parallel connections a technique employed by browsers to minimize network delays and improve overall performance.
- A **pool** of parallel connections allows the client to download the assets simultaneously rather than in a **serial** fashion.
- According to **HTTP 1.1**: A single-user client SHOULD NOT maintain more than 2 connections with any server or proxy.
- Most browser use a set of heuristics to decide on how many parallel connections to establish (typically from 4 to 8).

## DOMAIN SHARDING

### No sharding



### Sharding

3 The Shafest Foom				
+ SET to_sharding	(700 CB	devolution can	12 ax8 8ms	
ik GAT studietin_important.csc?v=385	302 OK	conica torbies, to alc	501.8	3004
* Sa'l defmiktore	202 OK	et.esi-C. torfiles.co.uk	6719	6110
3 SET coles.cm	200.08	at asic1, tarNet, co.Ak-	1.713	None None
# SET berenzen	300 Ok	anatika) tarihita sovak	736.0	307mg
E G27 Hermitts.js	300 OF	skelk@ barites route	35.3+5	71319
* 621 common Cop.8	200.08	statics tarNewtowk	313	30565
* 521 saluo-dux-erest.p/v=38h	210.08	\$230(2) 1979(et. on.4k	12.648	1995
8 GET connection-man.jc?w7306	300 OK	\$2,8011 forfiles, 10,40	3.248	Shiret
3 681 shalletin_global.sc?v=366	202 CK	et.etic) torNet.co.uk	7.918	A CAN BE AND A CAN BE
a GD lege.gd	200 CH.	attacics, tarWes, co.Ak	\$719	•OSine
¥ SET aux_offerage	301.04	at all if a families to all	3.453	30fep
Receipt 132 X	200-08	statics to New south	71,1.0	37910
# GET Intends-gap	200.08	statik2 bil/New to kik	13-313	8716
* 521 R. HP 243238304	770 Ca	\$2,831(3) 13/105-10-4A	1948	10994
in SAT UNITE_HPF-pt	310 CK	\$2.85(1) 10 <sup>-1</sup> (ec. (c).48	25.1.58	New
¥ 567 cegraphit.jpg	301.0x	statica torfèles.co.ak	15.218	Selver
¥ SET collapse_tcat.pl	203.04	static2 torSec. to Ak	631.3	EXING CONTRACTOR
¥ SET widget_close.g#	301.04	matic terffets or all	149	20fwg
▲ SET Brotecoup#	200 OK	static2 farRes to Ak	1210	990
# GET keekpool-plf	201.0k	statics before to Ak	391.9	2019 CTV0
Tgawooka 151 *	290-04	\$2,00(3,150/065-10.40)	1.813	Same State
* 681 image php tu= 853x608 date line =129408x311	310 CK	\$2.80(3.15/Nec.10.4)	1.1.48	SUDAN SUDAN SUDAN
E SET in age php to = 0323678 date line = 1271309585	301.0x	casic) torbies to all	1.518	16.Ys
¥ 667 intoge php?um 6216758.dateline m1277617860	200.04	statica toribicationale	1.249	GADWe GADWe
3 GET image php to +232516-bit sline +1195030214	302.08	static tarNet to Ak	6710	75the
3 SET imography?u=5303428did:dexc=1210530452	202-09	and ability for Weak so rule	006.0	72kmg
x 681 3.895	200-0k	static2 billionsout	1.713	and a second sec
* 621 Issage php to=2501488-Gateline=1248996964	200.04	stated by the totals	(243	Barnetti 12765
8.681 issage php %=b42833bdateIne=1297220988	200 CK	statica tañtes to ak	1858	18915
3 681 inagepta/s=19650dbdatebre=1275835428	3010K	\$140 (2.10/Sec.10.4)	1.458	47346
¥ 647 isogephp?u=80919986dx4ine=1271898240	500 Ce.	granica tarbiectorale	1.589	direct diversity of the second
3 GET image php htt SS10408 date institute 1249 459292	201.08	at acticit, tar Weit, co. sk-	96: 9	250ms
3 SET intography/tu=2465258.doi:doi:=1277337561	200 CK	matrica tariban to al-	1.1.19	003/vc
8 GET imographia?i==6554428.det.clinc==1297898424	300.0k	static2, tarNew source	3,743	1010
362089751-34b366465024-at q4q.sport 133 8	200-04	statics to/ReviseAh	1.553	24%
* 081 Wage php to =2232306 dute fine =1251 405014	200 GK	\$100/2/6/9es to ak	1.385	
581 isogepturtu=3365648dateline=1290648731	300.0K	\$140(3) 1979(H) 10.48	1.248	31.746
6FT image php/ts:2001.678dateIme:12123231.63	000.08	static to first to alc	41.68	State State State State State
8 687 isogephp?u=257t198dateline=1276831869	200 OF	statics to file. to sk	2.919	25%
* GLT plan.gl	300 CH.	at story the Section Africa	154 B	G*440
N GET econologi	200 Or	atoxicii tarNen soluk	4.513	34Gen
1 GET logiling of	200.04	statical beflets to Alt	2753	La Watch and Street Street
in GET Borandis	308-GK	\$140x1.54/Res. to als	339.5	15005
is 551 borrowshift of	No. Concernent	Designed and the state of the	1 1 1 1 A	and the second se

- Domain sharding distributing web resources across multiple domains or content delivery networks.
- Domain sharding is often overused and can hurt performance due to additional DNS lookups and TCP slow-start.

Source: https://gtmetrix.com/parallelize-downloads-across-hostnames.html
#### RESOURCE CONCATENATION, SPRITING AND INLINING

- The fastest request is a request not made.
- Concatenation multiple JavaScript or CSS files are combined into a single resource.
- **Spriting** multiple images are combined into a larger, composite image.
- Resource Inlining
  - JavaScript and CSS can be included in HTML via the appropriate tags
  - Binary data (e.g., images) can be included in HTML/CSS using data-URI



#### SPEEDY — BUSINESS MOTIVATION

 SPDY — a protocol for transporting content over the web, designed specifically for end-user perceived latency (the target was a 50% reduction in page load time).



- SPDY requires **no changes** to existing networking infrastructure.
- SPDY uses **TCP** as the transport layer but **requires** also **SSL/TLS**.



- SPDY requires **no changes** to existing networking infrastructure.
- SPDY uses **TCP** as the transport layer but **requires** also **SSL/TLS**.



- SPDY requires **no changes** to existing networking infrastructure.
- SPDY uses **TCP** as the transport layer but **requires** also **SSL/TLS**.



- SPDY requires **no changes** to existing networking infrastructure.
- SPDY uses **TCP** as the transport layer but **requires** also **SSL/TLS**.



- SPDY requires **no changes** to existing networking infrastructure.
- SPDY uses **TCP** as the transport layer but **requires** also **SSL/TLS**.



## SPEEDY — FEATURES

- **Multiplexed streams** SPDY allows for unlimited concurrent streams over a single TCP connection.
- The ability to divide HTTP messages into independent binary frames, interleave them, and reassemble them on the other end is the most important enhancement of SPDY and HTTP/2.

## SPEEDY — FEATURES

- **Multiplexed streams** SPDY allows for unlimited concurrent streams over a single TCP connection.
- The ability to divide HTTP messages into independent binary frames, interleave them, and reassemble them on the other end is the most important enhancement of SPDY and HTTP/2.



## SPEEDY — FEATURES

- Request prioritization the client can request many items from the server and assign a priority to each request.
- **HTTP header compression** SPDY compresses request and response HTTP headers
- Server-initiated streams allows to deliver content to the client without the client needing to ask for it:
  - Server push server can push data to clients via X-Associated-Content header.
  - **Server hint** server uses X–Subresources header to suggest to the client that it should ask for specific resources.

#### FROM SPEEDY TO HTTP/2

 Chrome has supported SPDY since Chrome 6, but since most of the benefits are present in HTTP/2, it's time to say goodbye. We plan to remove support for SPDY in early 2016.

HTTP://BLOG.CHROMIUM.ORG/2015/02/HELLO-HTTP2-GOODBYE-SPDY.HTML

- Features inherited by **HTTP/2** from **SPDY**:
  - multiplexed streams can use one connection for parallelism
  - priorities and dependencies one stream can depended on another (the parent stream is processed by the server before its dependencies)
  - header compression uses HPACK algorithm to reduce overhead
  - allows servers to "push" responses proactively into client caches
  - is **binary**, instead of textual HTTP/1.1
- However, in HTTP/2 **encryption** is not mandatory.

# HTTP/2 IMPLEMENTATIONS

- Firefox:
  - experimental support for HTTP/2 in version 34
  - enabled by default in version 36
  - only supports HTTP/2 over encrypted connection (TLS)
- Google Chrome:
  - support from version 40
  - enabled by default in 41
  - only supports HTTP/2 over encrypted connection (TLS)
- Microsoft Edge:
  - support from version 12
  - only supports HTTP/2 over encrypted connection (TLS)
- Performance comparison: https://blog.httpwatch.com/2015/01/16/a-simple-performance-comparison-of-https-spdy-and-http2/
- Server adoption is worse: about 44% websites support HTTP/2 as of 03.2020: <u>https://w3techs.com/technologies/details/ce-http2/all/all</u>



# THE SIGHT OF THE FUTURE

- HTTP/3 is currently under development
  - Draft of standard: <u>https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-quic-http-27</u>
  - Experimental implementations available in Chrome and Firefox as of 03.2020
- HTTP/3 is an extension to HTTP/2 that adds binding to QUIC protocol instead of TCP at transport layer
- Quick UDP Internet Connections (QUIC)
  - Under development by Google
  - It does not use persistent connections
  - It supports multiplexed streams at transport layer, e.g., TLS handshake can be done at once using one packet sent by the client and one sent by the server instead of a sequence of packets sent each way

#### CONCLUSIONS

- More Bandwidth Doesn't Matter (Much).
- Latency is a Performance Bottleneck.



## CONCLUSIONS

- HTTP is an essential building block of the Web and a prerequisite for utilizing the full power of Internet technologies.
- HTTP headers allow for more advanced features like caching, authentication or client identification.
- Performance of HTTP/1.x can be improved by using persistent and parallel connections.
- HTTP/2 offers further performance improvements, including multiplexed streams and header compression.

## REFERENCES

- High Performance Browser Networking — Ilya Grigorik, O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2013, available online at: <u>http://chimera.labs.oreilly.com/bo</u> <u>oks/123000000545</u>
- What Every Web Developer Should Know About HTTP — K. Scott Allen, OdeToCode LLC, 2012, available online at: <u>http://odetocode.com/Articles/74</u> <u>1.aspx</u>



#### REFERENCES

- http2 explained: background, the protocol, the implementations and the future — Daniel Stenberg, <u>http://daniel.haxx.se/http2/</u>, 2015
- HTTP: The Protocol Every Web Developer Must Know <u>http://code.tutsplus.com/tutorials/http-the-protocol-</u> <u>every-web-developer-must-know-part-1--net-31177</u>
- HTTP: The Definitive Guide David Gourley, Brian Totty, Marjorie Sayer, Anshu Aggarwal, Sailu Reddy, O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2002